June 8, 2007

Inventory of Educational Effectiveness Indicators University of California, San Francisco

| CATEGORY | (1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed? | (2) Where are these learning outcomes published? (Please specify) | (3) Other than GPA, what data/evidence is used to determine that graduates have achieved stated outcomes for the degree? (e.g., capstone course, portfolio review, licensure examination) | (4) Who interprets the evidence? What is the process? | (5) How are the findings used? | (6) Date of last program review for this degree program |
|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| School of Dentistry DDS Program | Yes | Accreditation documentation Annual Outcomes Assessment Report Course syllabi (competency statements) | Assessments for faculty-approved competency statements Assessments of clinical skills (37 competency examinations) Successful completion of all coursework Alumni assessment of curriculum | Associate Dean for Education Dean of the School Faculty Council | Specific data are collected and presented by Dean to Faculty in the annual Outcomes Assessment Report Data are reviewed by deans, Faculty Council, faculty Faculty Council and Dean consider changes Changes planned and approved by Faculty Council | 2006 |
| School of Medicine MD Program | Yes | Report of Committee on Student Assessment | Comprehensive Clinical Skills Assessment U.S. Medical Licensing Examination (USMLE) Step 1 USMLE Step 2 USMLE Step 2 CS | Director of Student Assessment Associate Dean for Curricular Affairs Committee on Curriculum and Educational Policy (CCEP) | CCEP directs the Associate Dean for Curricular Affairs to make recommendations where measures fail to meet expectations. | 2007 |

| CATEGORY | (1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed? | (2) Where are these learning outcomes published? (Please specify) | (3) Other than GPA, what data/evidence is used to determine that graduates have achieved stated outcomes for the degree? (e.g., capstone course, portfolio review, licensure examination) | (4) Who interprets the evidence? What is the process? | (5) How are the findings used? | (6) Date of last program review for this degree program |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| School of Nursing MS Program | Yes | Course Syllabi, Student Handbook, Faculty Policy Manual, Website | Comprehensive exam or thesis Certification exam in advanced practice nursing specialty | Faculty and the Evaluation Coordinator Masters Program Council reviews and interprets the data Departmental Specialty Curriculum Committees | Masters Program Council recommends change based on the evidence to the faculty | 2001 * Progress report for Commission on Collegiate Nursing Education was approved in 2005. |
| School of Nursing PhD Program | Yes | Course Syllabi, Student Handbook, Faculty Policy Manual, Website | Faculty written assessment of student progress submitted to Doctoral Program Council Qualifying Exam Dissertation Defense | Faculty and the Evaluation Coordinator Doctoral Program Council reviews and interprets the data | Doctoral Program Council recommends change based on the evidence to the faculty | 2001 * Progress report for Commission on Collegiate Nursing Education was approved in 2005. |
| School of Pharmacy PhamD Program | Yes | Please refer to: http://pharmacy.ucsf. edu/pharmd/curr/ | Licensure examinations: NAPLEX (North American Pharmacist Licensure Examination CPJE (California Pharmacist Jurisprudence Examination) Comprehensive exam prior to beginning clinical rotations | Educational Policy Committee Assessment Committee Associate Dean for Assessment and Accreditation Associate Dean, Student & Curricular Affairs Vice Chair for Education, Department of Clinical Pharmacy Faculty Council | Assessment Committee evaluates outcomes and makes recommendations to the Educational Policy Committee (EPC); EPC reports to the Faculty Council and School of Pharmacy faculty | 2002 * The next review is scheduled for 2008. |

| CATEGORY | (1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed? | (2) Where are these learning outcomes published? (Please specify) | (3) Other than GPA, what data/evidence is used to determine that graduates have achieved stated outcomes for the degree? (e.g., capstone course, portfolio review, licensure examination) | (4) Who interprets the evidence? What is the process? | (5) How are the findings used? | (6) Date of last program review for this degree program |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Graduate Division Ph.D. Programs | Yes | Listed by each of 18 programs in handbooks, websites, handouts to students. | Annual student review and evaluation by each program Course approval is through the Academic Senate Qualifying Exam Dissertation Defense | Faculty in each program Executive committee in each program Qualifying Committee Dissertation Committee Exceptions by Graduate Dean | Improvement is planned based on input from faculty, and students in each program. | Programs are reviewed every six years by an external committee. Reports are available upon request. The most recent one was for Medical Anthropology in May 2007. |